

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET		CONFIRMATION NO.
09/588,351	06/07/2000	Roy Childs Flaker	F1996085	8116
30678	7590 08/15/2003			
	Y BOVE LODGE & H	EXAMINER		
SUITE 800 1990 M STR		FENTY, JESSE A		
WASHINGI	ON, DC 20036-3425	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2815		
		DATE MAILED: 08/15/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/588,351		FLAKERS ET AL.				
		Examiner M	Α	Art Unit				
	Ž	Jesse A. Fenty	V	2815				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		sheet with th co		Ir ss			
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 N	Nav 2003 .						
.,∟ 2a)□		is action is non-fi	nal.					
3)	,—			secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
·	on-of-Claims							
•	4) Claim(s) 6-14 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · ·	6) Claim(s) <u>6-14</u> is/are rejected.							
_	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alaatian raquira	mont					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	· ·	(PTO-413) Paper No( atent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/588,351

Art Unit: 2815

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 6-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Okumura et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,892,260).

In re claims 6-14, Okumura (Figs. 4, 21, 22) discloses in a circuit comprising a plurality of SOI devices, a method for enhancing the performance of the circuit, the method comprising:

Providing a pulse discharge circuit (312, 2103), the pulse discharge circuit having a pulse generator connected to the circuit;

Using the pulse generator to generate a pulse (column 6, lines 28-36; column 11, lines 50-67 and column 12);

Discharging any accumulated potential on the body of at least one of the plurality of SOI devices to a point having a lower potential than the accumulated potential of the body in response to the pulse from the pulse generator prior to accessing said at least one SOI devices.

Art Unit: 2815

## Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 6-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of Flaker et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,160,292) in view of Okumura et al. (as above).

In re claims 6-14, Flaker discloses the a semiconductor SOI device, wherein a pulse discharge circuit is connected to the source of the SOI device, but does not expressly disclose the pulse discharge circuit connected to the body region of the device. Okumura discloses an SOI circuit configuration wherein the substrate is biased via the body region, disclosed as the "back gate" region by Okumura. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to connect the pulse discharge circuit directly to the body region of Flaker, as disclosed by Okumura for the purpose, for example, of enhancing the speed of the device while decreasing the power dissipation (Okumura; column 4, lines 5-15).

Application/Control Number: 09/588,351

Art Unit: 2815

Page 4

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jesse A. Fenty whose telephone number is 703-308-8137. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4-9 1st Fri. Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on 703-308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-746-3892 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Jesse A. Fenty

Examiner

Art Unit 2815

.WILLIAM BAUMEISTER PRIMARY EXAMINERSTER

JAF**W** August 10, 2003